

Previous references
 vol 449-05
 d.F no 317 of 1896

1909.

(Impl)

File No 185-07
 Serial Nos. 1-2

Later references

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-2

Part B for Decr 1909.

SUBJECT

Ames and Bye-laws of the Bangalore city mpty

1 From the Presdt Bangalore city mpty. Council. no. 3109 of 5.

Enquires whether the Octroi Rules published under the
 Cat. Chf. Conv's. nbs. no. 341 of 2 2.1871, are still in force

2 To Dto, no 4909 of 8-12-9.

States that under the circumstances detailed therein, the
 Chf. Conv's. nbs. cannot be considered to be still in force
 in the Bangalore city mpty.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Feb.)

NOTES

File No 185-69

FILE HEADING

Rules and Bye Laws of the Bangalore City Municipality -

From

The President, Bangalore City Municipal Council

No. 96

Dated 5.11 '09

Received 8.11.1909

Diary No. 3109

Enclos 1

Spare Copies -

Serial No 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Enquires whether the above rules published under the late Chief Commissioner's No. 20341 dated the 20th Feb 1871 are still in force

NOTES AND ORDERS

The Chief Commissioner's Notification of 1871 could be considered to have been in force ^{as} long as the Municipal Council did not frame a bye-law under the Regulation. The Municipality however did frame a bye-law

T
V
W

Serial No.

in 1899 which was duly sanctioned by Govt. in March 1900. This report was clearly pointed out to the President in connection with certain alterations which he considered necessary to make in the license forms. In that connection, the President proposed to adopt the form prescribed by the Mysore's Notification in reply, the Govt. observed that the Mumb. Council could rectify the defects in their bye-law where necessary. Thus, the said Notification is not, it is submitted, in force in the Bangalore City.

2. Questions are raised as to whether the Mumb. President may be informed accordingly.

Mbl. Ang 3 Please put up draft
22/11/09 22-11-09 WLL

4. Draft submitted -
Mbl. Ang 1st Cr. 24-11-09 WLL
28/11

345
312 09

Approved 7/12/09
3/12/09
200 u.s.
4-12-09 WLL

ML

File No.

of 190

3

Serial
No.

2

. S. O. No. 4909 dated the 8th Decr
1909 states that, in the circum-
stances detailed herein, the Chief
Commissioner's Order of 1871 cannot
be considered to be still in force in
the Bangalore City Municipality.

B M 108]

OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL ~~COMMISSIONER~~ ^{Council}

City, Bangalore, 5th November 1909

No 96

Enclosure one

From

THE PRESIDENT

Municipal ~~Commissioner~~ ^{Council},
Bangalore City

3109

To

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments, Bangalore.

SIR


ml 185-089
sl-1

In submitting herewith copies of this Office letter No 636 dated the 8th Ultimo to the Government Advocate and his reply thereto No 106 dated the 30th Idem with regard to the point whether the Octroi Rules published under the late Chief Commissioner's Notification No 341 dated the 2nd February 1871 (pages 460 to 464 of the Mysore Gazette of that year) are still in force or not, I have the honour to request you to be so good as to intimate if the said Rules are still extant or cancelled, as the Government Advocate has expressed his inability to enlighten me on the subject

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,


For President

Br.

36

2

Letter No 636 dated the 8th October 1909 from the
President, City Municipal Council to the Government Advo-
- cate in Mysore, Bangalore

- - - - -

Sir,

I have the honour to request you to be so good as to
intimate, at a very early date, if the Octroi Rules pub-
lished under the late Chief Commissioner's Notification
No 341 dated the 2nd February 1871(pages 460 to 464 of
the Mysore Gazette of that year) are still in force or not

The favour of an early reply is requested

I have Etc ,

Sd N Subba Rao,

For President

@@@@@@@@@@@@

Letter No 106 dated 30th October 1909 from the Gov-
ernment Advocate in Mysore to the President, City Muni-
cipal Council, Bangalore

Sir,

In reply to your letter No 636 dated 8th In-
stant, I have the honor to state that subsequent to the
date (27-2-1871) of the Notification referred to by you,
there seem to have been several Orders issued from time to
time by Government on the subject of Octroi duty leviable
by Municipalities and that all such Orders have not been
preserved in my Office. I, therefore, regret my inability
to furnish the information required by you, namel. whether
the Octroi Rules published under the late Chief Commis-
sioner's Notification No 341 dated the 2nd February 1871
are still in force or have been altered or cancelled since

6

A copy of the report of the Committee on the
General Department will also be sent to the
proper authorities.

A copy of the

report of the

Committee

[Handwritten signature]

For the Committee

W. H.

[Small handwritten mark]

Diary No. of letter replied to 3109Proceedings of the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of MysoreGENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT
(M.L.)

Q. the 23 H.

1909

Date of issue

8.12.09

Signed by

M.K.M.

READ—

Letter No 96 dated the
5th November 1909 from the
President, Bangalore City
Municipal Council, requesting
to be informed whether the
Petroleum Rules published under

the late Chief Commissioner's
Notification 22361 dated the
22 February 1871 are still
in force --

Re: Memo 4909 and 185-09.
1909 - The Chief Commissioner's
No 2

SUBJECT

Notification of 1871 could
 be considered ~~to be~~ ~~be~~ ~~be~~
 in force only so long as
 the Municipal Council
 did not frame a bye-law
 under the Regulation. The
 Municipal Council, however
 did frame a bye-law in
 1899 which was duly
 approved by Govt in March
 1900. ~~This aspect was~~
 clearly pointed out to the
 President in para 5 of
 G.O. No 1250-3 dated the
 8th August 1907 in con-
 nection with his proposal
 to make certain alterations
 in the "license" form. In
 the circumstances, the
 said Notification cannot
 be considered to be still in
 force in the Bangalore City
 Municipality -

W

H. M. V.,
 3/12/07

To the Presd. Bangalore
 City Municipal Council.
 Under
 22/11/07

